

## **EXHIBIT 5**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X **Docket#**  
ROBERT SAMPSON, : 22-cv-04490-JMA-AYS  
: :  
Plaintiff, : :  
: :  
- versus - : U.S. Courthouse  
: Central Islip, New York  
STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, ET AL, :  
: August 4, 2022  
Defendants :  
-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN M. AZRACK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**A P P E A R A N C E S:**  
(VIA VIDEO/AUDIO)

**For the Plaintiffs:**

**Mary C. Vargas, Esq.**  
Stein & Vargas LLP  
10 G Street NE, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20002

**Charles Weiner, Esq.**  
Law Office of Charles Weiner  
99 Lantern Drive, Suite 202  
Doylestown, PA 18901

**For the Defendants:**

**Valerie Singleton, Esq.**  
NYS Office of The Attorney  
General  
Nassau Regional Office  
200 Old Country Road, Ste. 240  
Mineola, NY 11501

**Transcription Service:**

**Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.**  
61 Beatrice Avenue  
West Islip, New York 11795  
RL.Transcriptions2@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording,  
transcript produced by transcription service

Proceedings

1 THE CLERK: Calling *Sampson v. Stony Brook*  
2 *University*, 22-4490.

3 Who's on the call, please, for plaintiff?

4 MS. VARGAS: Good afternoon. This is Mary  
5 Vargas for plaintiff Robert Sampson. And I believe if  
6 the Court gives permission, we also have Charles Weiner  
7 who has not yet filed a notice of appearance in this  
8 case. He is going to be submitting an application for  
9 admission to (indiscernible) and then will submit a  
10 notice of appearance. As well as Robert Sampson.

11 THE CLERK: Thank you. Okay. Is anyone on the  
12 call for defendant?

13 MS. SINGLETON: Yes. Valerie Singleton from  
14 the Attorney General's Office appearing on behalf of --

15 THE CLERK: Okay. Please spell your last name  
16 for me, please?

17 MS. SINGLETON: S-I-N-G-L-E-T-O-N.

18 THE CLERK: Okay. All right. If that's  
19 everyone, I'll let the judge know you're on the phone and  
20 she'll call in in a moment.

21 MS. VARGAS: Thank you.

22 (Pause in proceedings)

23 THE COURT: Good afternoon. It's Judge Azrack.  
24 We have Ms. Vargas and Mr. Weiner?

25 MR. WEINER: Yes, your Honor.

Proceedings

1 MS. VARGAS: Yes. Good afternoon.

2 THE COURT: And Ms. Singleton for defendant?

3 MS. SINGLETON: Yes. Good afternoon, your  
4 Honor.

5 THE COURT: Hi. Okay. So start off, since I  
6 haven't heard the defendant's side of this, I'm  
7 interested in hearing from Ms. Singleton.

8 MS. SINGLETON: Your Honor, as I understand  
9 from Stony Brook from counsel's office, they have worked  
10 with Mr. Sampson since 2016. In 2016 he met with the  
11 Committee on Academic Professional Progress and they  
12 informed him then that he would have to repeat phase 1 of  
13 his work or take step one, take the test. They  
14 recommended him repeating phase 1 of his work because of  
15 his chronic marginality.

16 He had a grade point average of 69.25. He had  
17 failed the body course's numerous exams he had failed on  
18 his first attempt, but he was allowed to retake them and  
19 he passed them.

20 They again met with him in 2017 and informed  
21 him, gave him an opportunity to retake his courses or the  
22 step one exam and reminded him of the seven year limit.

23 Again, they met with him again in 2018 and had  
24 the same conversation with him. At that time they were  
25 informed that he had retained an attorney who attempted

## Proceedings

1 to obtain accommodations for him from the USMLE. And  
2 from our understanding, twice he was denied the  
3 accommodations or his appeal was denied.

4 In June of 2020, he did take the exam. He  
5 failed the exam, step one. And at that time his  
6 dismissal was recommended. On appeal it was reversed and  
7 he was allowed to proceed to phase 2 of his studies and  
8 told that he would have to take step one. To our  
9 knowledge, to this day, he has not taken step one and now  
10 he's run out of time.

11 Mr. Sampson did enter an MBA program. He did  
12 so, however, in June of 2021 when he was well aware that  
13 he would be timed out with respect to the medical school.  
14 And he entered the MBA program without permission from  
15 the medical school. So the policy that deals with  
16 students who are dual enrolled does not apply to Mr.  
17 Sampson.

18 THE COURT: Why not?

19 MS. SINGLETON: Because, your Honor, he  
20 enrolled in the program after the medical school had  
21 already told him he was running up against the time clock  
22 with the seven year policy.

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MS. SINGLETON: And he was also told, your  
25 Honor, that he had to receive approval from the medical

## Proceedings

1 school in order to enroll in the dual MBA program which  
2 the approval would not have been granted because he's  
3 struggling in the medical school.

4 THE COURT: Well, what about this situation  
5 where he's got something from the registrar's office that  
6 says he has until May of 2023?

7 MS. SINGLETON: Your Honor, from my  
8 understanding, I'm not aware that he has from the  
9 registrar's office till May of 2023. I was told from --

10 THE COURT: I'm looking at the document. It  
11 says this letter verifies that Robert Sampson is  
12 currently enrolled as a medical student, is in good  
13 standing, expected graduation date May 2023. Signed by  
14 the registrar.

15 MS. SINGLETON: Your Honor, from my  
16 understanding, his date --

17 THE COURT: That's news to you? Wait, is that  
18 something that's new that you were not aware of? Because  
19 it's in the complaint.

20 MS. SINGLETON: Your Honor, I've been going  
21 over the chrono notes. I was in court yesterday. And as  
22 I explained to Ms. Vargas, I have been pouring over the  
23 documents.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MS. SINGLETON: So I have not had a chance, and

Proceedings

1 I have to be honest, your Honor, I have not had a chance  
2 to review all of the documents. I've been going over the  
3 chrono notes to get up to speed --

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MS. SINGLETON: -- as to what occurred when he  
6 was before the committee.

7 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to ask you to  
8 comment on something that you haven't seen.

9 Well look, his situation changes on August  
10 12th. Is there some way you can come to some temporary  
11 arrangement to keep him enrolled past August 12th? I  
12 can't do a preliminary junction hearing before August  
13 12th.

14 MS. SINGLETON: Well, I did speak with the  
15 client, your Honor about that too because realizing that  
16 we have to get our papers in and that the Court will also  
17 have to conduct a hearing and also be given time to  
18 render a decision, that we would be willing to maintain  
19 the status quo until the return date, to the next return  
20 day, once we have our papers in and the Court has had an  
21 opportunity to conduct a hearing.

22 THE COURT: Well, all right, good because  
23 that's going to probably be at least 30 days out.

24 Ms. Vargas, have you had conversations with  
25 people at Stony Brook?

## Proceedings

1 MS. VARGAS: Yes, your Honor we've had multiple  
2 conversations with Suzanne Shane, the general counsel at  
3 Stony Brook, for a period of months. The last  
4 communication, we've repeatedly asked in detailed letters  
5 for accommodations for disability. Unfortunately, the  
6 NBME is notorious for denying requests for accommodations  
7 for students with disabilities. We've repeatedly cited  
8 this. We've referenced cases showing that it is a  
9 reasonable accommodation to request additional time to  
10 seek accommodations from the NBME. And unfortunately,  
11 Ms. Shane denied that request.

12 THE COURT: Well, I mean I know he's had a  
13 recent exam, maybe a new psychiatrist or something. I  
14 mean one of your issues really is with the NBME. Now,  
15 why haven't you sued them all these years?

16 MS. VARGAS: Well, we do intend to sue them.  
17 We've issued a final demand letter to them. We gave them  
18 until August 1st. Unfortunately, the attorney for the  
19 NBME, Robert Burgoyne is overseas. So he has responded  
20 and said that he will get back to counsel as soon as he  
21 returns. I don't know exactly what date that is, but  
22 we've reached out to ask.

23 THE COURT: But I mean do you have -- are you  
24 hoping that based on the most recent testing, Mr. Samson  
25 is going to be granted an accommodation by NBME?



## Proceedings

1 MS. VARGAS: Yes. His most recent testing, as  
2 does his past testing in my opinion, but most recent  
3 testing does support the need for accommodations and  
4 Stony Brook, to its credit, agrees that he needs  
5 accommodations.

6 THE COURT: No, I realize that, but do you  
7 think that -- I mean I haven't studied the test results  
8 but are the test results different in kind that it's  
9 likely to change NBME's view of it?

10 MS. VARGAS: Well, Mr. Weiner and I have both  
11 represented plaintiffs in litigation against the NBME and  
12 successfully obtained preliminary injunctions for  
13 students with learning disabilities and ADHD who are  
14 denied accommodations. Based on that record, we have a  
15 relationship with Mr. Burgoyne. That is why we went back  
16 to him as a courtesy in hopes that he would have his  
17 folks look back at the testing, which is from a very,  
18 very highly respected neuropsychiatrist at Mount Sinai in  
19 hopes that this very thorough testing and the  
20 understanding that we are intending to file suit  
21 imminently in federal court would get his focus and cause  
22 his people to reevaluate the quality of the testing  
23 submitted.

24 THE COURT: Okay. How long does that typically  
25 take for them to reevaluate?

Proceedings

1 MS. VARGAS: They can do it very quickly.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MS. VARGAS: They can do it very quickly. It  
4 can be a matter of a week or two weeks assuming that we  
5 don't have to litigate.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MS. VARGAS: If we have to litigate, that  
8 obviously takes longer.

9 THE COURT: I would think you at least give  
10 them a chance to review that and see if they come to a  
11 conclusion before you would file suit.

12 Let me ask you another question. Your  
13 conversations with counsel for Stony Brook, what's their  
14 position about this registrar letter?

15 MS. VARGAS: My understanding of their position  
16 is that Ms. Shane says that they repeatedly told Mr.  
17 Sampson, that he had to finish in seven years. Mr.  
18 Sampson has been repeatedly told many different  
19 graduation dates, everything from August 12, 2022 to  
20 December 2022, to May 2023 to his understanding that he  
21 was a joint MD/MBA student. And I would respectfully  
22 disagree with my opposing counsel on how that happened.  
23 He went to the medical school and requested that they  
24 submit his materials to the MBA program for admission and  
25 they did that and he was admitted. There is no other

## Proceedings

1 process to formalize being an MD/MBA student other than  
2 what he did. And he's quite a successful one judging by a  
3 4.0 average in the MBA school.

4 THE COURT: Yes, I see that. All right. I  
5 mean also I mean I'm happy that the defendants have  
6 agreed to at least some cushion in terms of time just in  
7 terms of when, you know, they can respond and when the  
8 Court can possibly get to it. But, you know, why did you  
9 wait so long to even sue Stony Brook when August 12th was  
10 the drop dead date?

11 MS. VARGAS: We had hoped that Stony Brook  
12 would grant the accommodation once counsel put it in a  
13 letter and we did that. We had repeated delays. When I  
14 first contacted counsel in October of 2021, we had  
15 repeated delays and in their response. I kept reaching  
16 out again and asking for a response. They gave an abrupt  
17 response in January 2022. So I again sent them a  
18 detailed letter citing precedent, citing statute, and  
19 that was when they responded and said they would not make  
20 the accommodation. In the meantime, it wasn't until just  
21 this last month, June 2022, that the NBME denied the  
22 request for accommodations. So we had really hoped that  
23 they would grant that accommodation and that might be,  
24 you know, sufficient reason for a change of position to  
25 avoid coming to federal court which is obviously not only

## Proceedings

1 a use of the court's resources, but a heavy burden for a  
2 medical student to bear.

3 THE COURT: So and then it was after the June  
4 denial that you did the additional testing?

5 MS. VARGAS: No, the additional testing was  
6 done prior to that. We submitted that testing. The  
7 student submitted that testing to the NBME and he just  
8 received that denial in June of 2022.

9 THE COURT: But you still have hope that you  
10 can change the NBME's mind on this?

11 MS. VARGAS: We have successfully done that in  
12 the past. The testing was done, as I said, by Dr.  
13 Wasserstein who is someone that the NBME respects in our  
14 past experience. It's someone who's very well respected,  
15 it's very detailed testing. And so we still have, you  
16 know, expectations that the NBME will follow their legal  
17 obligations pursuant to Section 504 in the ADA to provide  
18 the accommodations that are needed.

19 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just throw  
20 out a thought since we're going to have to schedule this  
21 because Ms. Singleton's going to need time to put in a  
22 response, and at the same time on a parallel track, you  
23 could be doing your appeal to the NBME.

24 Let's put this on say a two-month track so that  
25 you can deal with the appeal and Ms. Singleton can get

## Proceedings

1 her papers in and I can schedule a hearing. But in the  
2 meantime, you know, maybe you'll get a different decision  
3 from them. Maybe you can have some conversations about  
4 resolving this. I mean, you know, Ms. Singleton, I don't  
5 want to put you in a bad position because I know you're  
6 very new to this, but I would just ask counsel for Stony  
7 Brook to think about, you know, it sounds like this is a  
8 promising young doctor that -- I mean, why is it such a  
9 big deal to give him until May 2023 under these  
10 circumstances?

11 MS. SINGLETON: I think --

12 THE COURT: He can graduate by then. I mean I  
13 don't want to put you in position where you have to  
14 answer and you can't answer because you're not familiar  
15 enough with the facts but I just throw that out.

16 MS. SINGLETON: Well, I did have, you know,  
17 conversations with counsel's office with looking towards  
18 a view is this something that is potentially workable  
19 here? The concern is, and the problem here is we have no  
20 control over the NBME. They've already denied this young  
21 man the accommodations twice. On a local level, my  
22 client has already given him accommodations on a local  
23 exam.

24 THE COURT: I know that.

25 MS. SINGLETON: But for his national exam, you

## Proceedings

1 know, the NBME has to give him the accommodations and  
2 they've already denied him twice. So we have no  
3 assurances and it seems unlikely that they are going to  
4 award him any accommodations. Best case scenario, we're  
5 looking at another year before he completes his medical  
6 studies. Worst case scenario, he's looking at two years  
7 before he completes his medical studies if the  
8 accommodations are granted. I mean, we would be holding  
9 his status in abeyance. We don't know how long this is  
10 going to go back and forth because he has appealed  
11 several times. So we don't know, you know, this can take  
12 a year, two years, or three years. We don't know. He  
13 hasn't been in an academic environment to my knowledge  
14 since June of 2016 and that's a lot of time.

15 THE COURT: No, I think he's --

16 MS. VARGAS: May I respond?

17 THE COURT: Yes, please, yes.

18 MS. VARGAS: Yes, that's not correct.

19 THE COURT: Right.

20 MS. VARGAS: After the CAP committee reversed  
21 its decision that had kept him on leave of absence for a  
22 period of years, he was able to return. He successfully  
23 completed his second year, he successfully completed his  
24 third year. In fact he had outstanding performance  
25 reviews, clinical reviews, and all he has left is 40

Proceedings

1 weeks of clinical programs.

2 He also, as I mentioned before, was in the  
3 classroom for his MBA program where he excelled.

4 THE COURT: So you said all he has left is 40  
5 weeks of clinical?

6 MS. VARGAS: Correct.

7 MS. SINGLETON: I mean so --

8 THE COURT: Let me just ask Ms. Vargas a  
9 question. Ms. Vargas, if you don't accept in the appeal  
10 to the NBME, then what happens?

11 MS. VARGAS: We anticipate filing suit in  
12 federal court in the next 30 days and we would seek a  
13 preliminary injunction against the NBME.

14 THE COURT: And in the meantime --

15 MS. VARGAS: Mr. Sampson wants to finish school  
16 as soon as he possibly can.

17 THE COURT: And in the meantime, you'd still be  
18 seeking the PI against Stony Brook to hold that so that  
19 you could do the latter suit against the testing agency,  
20 correct?

21 MS. VARGAS: Correct. He's in a Catch-22  
22 because the NBME has a rule that requires you to be  
23 enrolled as a student in good standing. You don't have  
24 to be an active student but if he's kicked out of medical  
25 school, he can no longer proceed against the NBME.

Proceedings

1 THE COURT: Right, I see. Okay. All right.  
2 And so now going all the way back to the fellow who's in  
3 Europe, when do you think you're going to be able to  
4 finish this appeal with the testing agency?

5 MS. VARGAS: I would anticipate within two  
6 weeks.

7 THE COURT: Okay, all right.

8 MS. VARGAS: We went back to Mr. Burgoyne  
9 yesterday and asked him again to, you know, to give us a  
10 date certain that he could respond.

11 THE COURT: So let me just -- so Ms. Singleton,  
12 you're going to need time to put in a response, correct?

13 MS. SINGLETON: Yes, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. And how much time would you  
15 like to put in a response?

16 MS. SINGLETON: Your Honor, I would like till I  
17 would say the end of August.

18 THE COURT: How about September 9th?

19 MS. SINGLETON: September 9th is fine, your  
20 Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay. And then I'll give the  
22 plaintiff a chance to put in a reply till let's say  
23 September 23rd. I will then look to schedule a hearing  
24 by -- within the next few weeks after that. So, can we  
25 all agree because of both the appeal to the testing



Proceedings

1 agency as well as the briefing schedule, can we at least  
2 hold everything, you know, in abeyance, basically have a  
3 sit still agreement through October 14th so that we can  
4 brief and have a hearing on this?

5 MS. SINGLETON: That's fine, your Honor.

6 MS. VARGAS: Plaintiff would welcome that.

7 MS. SINGLETON: I spoke with the client agency  
8 to the end of September, but I think October 14th is  
9 fine.

10 THE COURT: Yes. I'm in a criminal trial till  
11 the end of September so I can't even schedule you until  
12 sometime in October and I'll know better as we go  
13 forward. But let's do a stand still until October 14th.

14 MS. VARGAS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Vargas.  
15 We've reviewed your individual rules after the case was  
16 assigned to you and we understand that you would like  
17 papers to be served on you when they're of the length  
18 that the PI is and also that you would like briefing  
19 bundled. Should we hold off on filing, you know, Ms.  
20 Singleton on filing the opposition and plaintiff on  
21 filing the reply and then file it as a bundle?

22 THE COURT: Yes. You know, in this situation  
23 you can file it as it's ready. You don't need to bundle.

24 MS. SINGLETON: Okay. Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Bundling has to do with the clocks

Proceedings

1 running on our motion so you don't need to bundle in this  
2 case.

3 MS. VARGAS: Okay, thank you.

4 MS. SINGLETON: And Judge --

5 THE COURT: All right. Yes, Ms. Singleton?

6 MS. SINGLETON: I'm sorry, your Honor. Your  
7 Honor, on the return day on 10/14 for the hearing, would  
8 you like live witnesses? Would you like us to present  
9 witnesses?

10 THE COURT: I will let you know before then and  
11 I can't say for sure it's going to be October 14th  
12 because it's going to be when my criminal trial is over.  
13 I have an in custody defendant going to trial in early  
14 September and it's going to be -- I will schedule it for  
15 very shortly thereafter but yes, I will want live  
16 witnesses but I'll give you plenty of notice. So as we  
17 get through September, I may know, you know, is it going  
18 to be October 14th or is it going to be maybe the next  
19 week? It's just depends on when my jury comes back in  
20 the criminal trial.

21 MS. SINGLETON: Okay, thank you, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: But for now I want you all to  
23 basically agree to this standstill through October 14th.

24 MS. SINGLETON: Yes, your Honor.

25 MS. VARGAS: Yes, your Honor.

Proceedings

1 THE COURT: All right, thank you everyone.

2 MS. VARGAS: I do have one additional question,  
3 your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MS. VARGAS: In the event that the NBME denies  
6 our request to provide the accommodations imminently, we  
7 would then file in federal court against the NBME and  
8 seek a preliminary injunction. When we do that filing,  
9 should we advise the clerk that it's a related case or --

10 THE COURT: Yes, yes.

11 MS. VARGAS: Okay. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: All right, good luck everybody. And  
13 thank you.

14 MS. SINGLETON: Thank you, your Honor.

15 MS. VARGAS: Thank you.

16 MR. WEINER: Thank you, your Honor.

17 (Matter concluded)

18 -oOo-

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, MARY GRECO, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 6th day of August, 2023.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.